

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	. CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/563,467 01/04/2006		Sergio Martins Costa	10008.010	1361		
Christopher J F	7590 01/08/2008 ildes	EXAMINER				
Fildes & Outlar			MANOHARA	MANOHARAN, VIRGINIA		
Suite 2 20916 Mack Av	venue		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Grosse Pointe Woods, MI 48236			. 1797			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			01/08/2008	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/563,467	COSTA, SERGIO MARTINS
Examiner	Art Unit
Virginia Manoharan	1797

		• "g"		1	_
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet w	ith the d	correspondence add	iress
THE	REPLY FILED <u>26 December 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THI</u>	S APPLICATION IN COND	ITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. 🛚	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follor places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliantime periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendi otice of Appeal (with appeal	ment, afi I fee) in (	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a)	$\boxtimes$ The period for reply expires $3$ months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.			
b)	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire	later than SIX MONTHS from t	the mailin	g date of the final reject	ion.
_	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	'06.07(f).			
have i under set fo may r	sions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the th in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late educe any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) CE OF APPEAL	ctension and the corresponding shortened statutory period for r than three months after the n	g amount reply orig	of the fee. The approprially set in the final Off	riate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
2. 🗌	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extea Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.3	37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of the	hs of the date of ne appeal. Since
<u>AME</u>	NDMENTS		•	,	
3. 🛚	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below.	onsideration and/or search			ecause
	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		erially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for
	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of f	finally rei	iected claims	
	NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.		illially ie	jecteu danns.	
<u>,                                    </u>	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		f Non Ca	ampliant Amandmant	(DTOL 224)
	•		i Non-Co	ompilant Amendment	(FTOL-324).
5				Alasa da Alba da assa assa dana	
6. 🗀	non-allowable claim(s).			•	
7. 🖂	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro		b) 🗌 wi	ill be entered and an	explanation of
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> .				
	Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .				
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>5-16</u> .				
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u> .				
<u>AFFI</u>	DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
8. 🗀	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).				
9. 🔲	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections und	der appe	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation UEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claim	ns after e	entry is below or attac	hed.
	The request for reconsideration has been considered b	ut does NOT place the app	lication i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	·		

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendments would provoke new 112 rejections. For examples only:

The claimed the base including a feed chamber communicating through the bottom tubesheet with the tubes of the first tube bundle for supplying the tubes with a flow of undistilled water for partial vaporization, means for conducting a heated liquid against the tubes of the first tube bundle and partially vaporizing the undistilled water therein, and the first stage evaporator having a first stage vapor chamber above the upper tubesheet and a feed chamber below the bottom tubesheet in open communication with the tubes of the first tube bundle for receiving therefrom heated water vapor and residual undistilled water, the upward extension of the internal wall directing the heated vapor to a subsequent stage evaporator tube bundle; and a second final stage evaporator, being also a pre-final stage condenser, including a second final cylindrical vertical tube bundle, having tubes supported and sealed by a second final, upper tubesheet and a second final bottom tubesheet, the final bottom tubesheet carrying a final stage floating head connected to receive, by gravity feed means from a final stage vapor chamber, residual undistilled water vacuumed from a prior stage....recited in claim 5 is nowhere in the specification. However, if support can be pointed-out, at least the specification fails to provide proper antecedent support as the above additional limitations are not positively recited in the specification. See also the limitations added in claims 6 and 7.

PRIMARY EXAMINE

ART UNIT 12- 1797